Research article

ANTIMICROBIAL, MOSQUITO LARVICIDAL AND REPELLENT ACTIVITY OF ESSENTIAL OILS ISOLATED FROM THREE LOCAL SPECIES OF GINGER GROWN IN UPPER ASSAM REGION, INDIA

Hemanga Hazarika^{1,3}, Sonika Boruah², Johirul Islam³, Arpita Paul¹, Md Kamaruz Zaman^{1*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh-786004, India

²Centre for Studies in Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh-786004, India

³Defence Research Laboratory, Tezpur, Assam-784001, India

Abstract

Background: Synthetic mosquito repellents due to their side effects have led to the search for better, safer, and eco-friendly approaches. Essential oils are found to be a promising natural alternative with lesser or no harmful effects. Our study focuses on essential oils isolated from rhizomes of some local Zinger species collected from the upper Assam region of India. **Objective:** To extract essential oils from rhizomes of mango ginger, cassumunar ginger, and English ginger, to evaluate their mosquito larvicidal and repellent activities, and to study the antimicrobial susceptibility against 6 bacterial strains viz. Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, and Lactobacillus casei. Materials and Methods: In the present study extraction of essential oils was carried out in the Clevenger apparatus. The larvicidal activity was tested against larvae of Anopheles stephensimosquitoes. The dose-response study was carried out by the K and D module and the percentage of repellency was determined. Further, the antimicrobial activity was examined by the disk diffusion method, and the minimum inhibitory concentrations were determined. Lastly, the identification of essential oils wasperformed by GC-MS. Results and Discussion: On the basis of the study, English ginger (LC_{50} values 87.09 and 57.01mg/l at 24 h and 48h respectively) showed the highest larvicidal and repellency (80% at 0.21 mg/cm²) potential amongst the three essential oils. The essential oils showed an inhibitory effect over the microbial strains. GC-MS study reported the presence of active natural

compounds in the essential oils. **Conclusions:** Our study confirmed that the essential oils possess good larvicidal, repellant, and antimicrobial activity.

Keywords: Antimicrobial; GC-MS; Mosquito larvicide; Repellent

Introduction

Vector Borne Diseases account for more than 17% of the estimated global burden of infectious diseases, causing more than 1 billion cases and over 1 million deaths annually[1]. Different methods have been developed for mosquito control including biological control, pesticide application, source reduction, sterile insect technique, physical exclusion (screens, nets, etc.), and discharge of genetically modified mosquitoes [2-3]. Biological control of the disease vectors is a better alternative to the chemical controls aimed against haematophagous mosquitoes. Mosquito repellents are extensively used in indoor and outdoor environments to avoid disease exposure [4-5]. However, repellents never guarantee complete protection, but could be minimize the chances of vector-borne diseases following proper applications [6]. They are useful for human activity coinciding with the enduring activity patterns of mosquitoes such as outdoor activities that take place at dusk and dawn, e.g., military operation in mosquito endemic areas or during hunting and fishing [7]. Mostly, mosquito repellent discovery has been possessed by the need to safeguard the military troops from vector human diseases(haematophagous arthropods). However, they have also been included in daily life of civilians owing increase incidences of mosquito-borne diseases [8]. Increasing resistant mosquito populations against synthetic repellents particularly pyrethroids and DEET (N,N-Dimethyl-metatoluamide) has directed to explore newer alternative repellents. Further, toxicological and environmental concern of above instigated new investigations in the recent years is alarming. Better alternative to synthetic ones, the use of botanicals to control household insect-pest is being looked upon as a main source for safer and eco-friendly insecticide/pesticide. Since, botanicals are more ecofriendly, economic, species specific, biodegradable and have lesser or no harmful effects on non-target organisms including human being, they are preferable over synthetic ones[8-9]. At the same time it is necessary to control bacteria by synthetic or natural means [9].

The greatest use of essential oils (EOs) are for their therapeutic action, for flavoring, in perfumery or as starting materials for the synthesis of active compounds. For therapeutic purposes, they are administered as inhalations, orally, as gurgles, and mouthwashes and, some EOs are also utilized in the practice of aromatherapy.

Essential oils with high phenolic content show antiseptic properties, whereas others mostly act as carminatives [10]. The efficacy of EOs against different microbial strains depends on their chemical structure and various active components present in it. For instance, the antibacterial activity of thymol against *Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to be comparable to carvacrol. However, in a research study, thymol and carvacrol were found to act separately against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [11]. The importance of the phenolic ring itself (destabilized electrons) is established by the lack of activity of menthol compared to carvacrol. In one study, the addition of an acetate moiety to the molecule appeared to increase the antibacterial activity; geranyl acetate was more active against a range of gram-positive and negative species than geraniol [12-13].

The northeast region (NER) of India is best known for its biodiversity, with wide variations in ecology, topography, and soil characteristics [13]. The climate of upper Assam is hot and very humid, with a high degree of moisture content all-round the year. The high humidity of this region pampers the growth of the microorganisms which grow and multiply rapidly due to the hot humid climate. The conducive climate of this region also favours cultivation of various ginger species including a very special ginger, known as Moran ginger. Literature survey does not provide sufficient information on the comperative insecticidal, repellancy and antimicrobial properties of different ginger species. Hence, the present study was designed to screen the effect of mosquito larvicidal, repellency, and antimicrobial activity of essential oils isolated from three species of locally collected rhizomes of ginger.

Materials and Methods

Collection of rhizomes

Fresh, healthy and disease free rhizomes of *Curcuma amada* (Mango ginger), *Zingiber moran* (Cassumunar ginger) and Zingiber *officinale* (English ginger) were collected from MancottaTepor Gaon of Dibrugarh district, Assam, India, during the month of January 2016. All the specimens were identified by Dr. Pankaj Chetia, Assistant Professor, Department of Life Science, Dibrugarh University (Herberium No. DU/PHSc/HERB/03-05/2016). Essential oils used in this study, their biological source, local name and their part used are given in Table 1.

Table	l: Essential	oils used	l in t	his	study,	their	biological	source,	local	name	and
their pa	rt used										

SI	Botanical	Botanica	l parts	Local name	English	
No.	name	Whole plant	Rhizome		name	
1	Curcuma amada			Aamada	Mango ginger	
2	Zingiber moran			Moran ada	Cassumunar ginger	
3	Zingiber officinale		it	Jatiada	English ginger	

Chemicals and reagents

Non-pathogenic microbial strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 9542), *Listeria monocytogenes* (ATCC BAA-751), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC11778), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Bacillus subtilis*(ATCC 6051), and *Lactobacillus casei* (ATCC 393) were collected from National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India. Standard discs of Ciprofloxacin (5μ g/disc), ofloxacin (5μ g/disc), tetracycline (30μ g/disc) and ampicillin (10μ g/disc) were procured from Hi-media (Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Maharashtra, India).

Extraction of essential oils

The rhizomes were crushed in grinder and were set to air dry for 3 to 5 days. The materials were weighed to about 250 gm and subjected to hydro distillation by using Clavenger apparatus to obtain the essential oil at boiling temperature. The oil-water vapor mixture was condensed inside the cooling chamber of the apparatus and the oil gets separated. The obtained oils were collected in a sealed vial and kept at low temperature (4°C) until further use.

Rearing of Anopheles stephensi

The test mosquitoes *Anopheles stephensi* were reared in laboratory conditions in wooden cages in the Division of Pharmaceutical Technology, Defence Research Laboratory, Tezpur, Assam, India. 10% w/v sugar solution was provided in cotton for nourishment and blood meal was provided by rabbit blood. A glass beaker containing 500 mL of water was kept for egg laying. The eggs were collected and transferred to a polypropylene tray containing three litres of water. Brewer's yeast powder provided as food supplement for the larvae. Collected pupae were kept in small cages (40 cm \times 40 cm \times 40 cm) for emerging into the adult. Female *Anopheles stephensi* (5-6 days old) were taken from the colony maintained at 27±2°C and 70±5 % RH for repellent assay.

Larval bioassay

Third instar larvae of *Anopheles stephensi* was used for the experiments against EOs following the method described previously [14]. The EOs were tested in four different concentrations viz. 10 mg/L, 50 mg/L, 100 mg/L and 500 mg/L respectively in every glass beaker (100 mL) with 25 larva each. Acetone was used as a solvent and made the stock solution for each EO and added to those beakers to produce the desired concentration in 100 mL of tap water. After 24 and 48 h, a total number of dead larvae in each beaker were counted and corrected percentage of larval mortality was calculated.

Dose response study

Dose response study was carried out by the K and D module as per the method described by Islam et al. [15]. A volume of 0.025 mL of each concentration of the EOs in soybean oil viz. 0.02 mg/cm², 0.10 mg/cm² and 0.21 mg/cm² and 0.025 mL of the diluent (soybean oil) was applied randomly to the volunteer's marked skin as described previously [16]. After air drying for 5 minutes, the module was then placed over the marked area on the thigh and the sliding door was opened for the mosquitoes to access the treated areas. Each chamber of the K & D module contains 5 nulliparous, 5-7 days old mosquito (total 25 females per cage). The number of mosquito biting in each marked area was recorded for 1 min within every 5 min up to 25 min of repellent application [16]. Mosquitoes free from any exposure to repellent previously were used for each test. The effectiveness of EOs were determined by calculating the percentage repellency [17], using the formula described by Weaving and Sylvester in 1967.

Repellency (%) = $\frac{100 - \text{no. of bites on treated area}}{\text{no. of bites on control area}} \times 100$

In vitro antimicrobial activity

Disk diffusion method

In vitro antimicrobial activity of the EOs of mangoginger, cassumunar ginger, and English ginger was evaluated as described elsewhere [18]. Standardized bacterial strains of Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, Lactobacillus casei were used in this study. Empty sterilized paper disc (Whatman no.5, 6 mm in diameter) were impregnated with 50 µL of two different ratios (1:1 and 1:10) of the EOs under aseptic conditions, and placed on the agar surface with the help of sterile pointed forceps at a suitable distance apart so the respective disc can produce clear zones of inhibition around them (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 1997). Sterile paper disc impregnated with aqueous DMSO was placed on the seeded petri plate as a vehicle control. Standard disc containing ciprofloxacin (5µg/disc), ofloxacin (5µg/disc), tetracycline (30µg/disc) and ampicillin (10µg/disc) of 6 mm diameter, was used as a reference control. Petri dishes were kept at 4 °C for 1 h, and then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.The degree of sensitivity was evaluated in mm by measuring the visible clear zone of growth inhibition as a result of the diffusion of EOs from the respective discs into the surrounding medium on agar surface around the discs. The zones showing complete inhibition by the EOs compared with the standard drug containing a disc.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The EOs (10 mg) from all three ginger species were dissolved in 10 mL of 5% DMSO solution to obtain a concentration of 1000 µg/mL as a stock solution (0.5% v/v tween 80 was incorporated as a solubility enhancer). From the stock solution, 5 dilutions were prepared viz. 3.12 µg/mL, 6.25 µg/mL, 12.5 µg/mL, 25 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL, respectively. The inoculation of bacterial strains was prepared with both cultures and suspensions, and was adjusted to standard turbidity (10^5 CFU/mL). Then 1 mL of the stock solution was incorporated into 1 mL of Muller-Hinton broth to get different concentrations viz. 3.12 µg/mL, 6.25 µg/mL, 12.5 µg/mL, 25 µg/mL, 25 µg/mL, and 50 µg/mL, respectively. The standard suspension of the test organism (50µL) was transferred into each test tube. The control contained only the bacterial culture. Culture tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and after incubation, MIC was evaluated [18].

Identification of components in essential oils

Essential oil samples were prepared and analysed as described by Hazarika et al. [14]. The EO samples were diluted in *n*-hexane at a concentration of 100 mg/L for evaluation under gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS), GC 7890B and

5977A MSD system equipped with a GC column J&W (HP-5 MS UI), (Agilent Technology, Germany). Helium was used as carrier gas at flow rate 1mL/min. Oven temperature was programmed from 40-300 °C at 20 °C/min. The injector temperature was set at 250 °C and detector temperature was set at 230 °C (quad) and 150 °C (core), respectively. Components in the test samples were identified by NIST-14 mass spectra search software.

Results

Larvicidal activity

English ginger showed the highest larvicidal activity among the three EOs. It showed the LC_{50} (lethal concentration required to kill 50% of the population) values of 87.09 and 57.01 mg/L after 24 and 48 h, of post exposure respectively. The order of the EOs with their LC_{50} values (in mg/L) after 24 h of exposure were, English ginger (87.09)>cassumunar ginger (104.71)> mango ginger (176.19). After 48 h, the order was English ginger (57.01)>cassumunar ginger (86.16)> mango ginger (97.72). Fig 1 and Table 2 represents the larvicidal activity of EOs against the larvae of *Anopheles stephensi*.



Fig 1: Larvicidal activity of essential oils against Anopheles stephensi

Essential oils	LC ₅₀ (mg/L)				
	24 h	48 h			
Mango ginger	176.19	97.72			
Cassumunar ginger	104.71	86.16			
English Ginger	87.09	57.01			

Table 2: Larval bioassay of essential oils against the 3rd instar larvae of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes

LC₅₀: lethal concentration required to kill 50% of the population

Dose response study

The essential oils showed good repellency activity against *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes. The English ginger oil showed 100% repellency at 100 mg/L up to 2 h, while the cassumunar ginger and mango ginger showed 87 and 83%, respectively. The standard repellent N, N Di ethyl benzamide showed 100% repellency for up to 6 h. The results are shown in Fig 2 and Table 3, respectively.



Fig 2: Dose response study of essential oils against Anopheles stephensi

	Conc. 0.02 mg/cm ²		Conc. 0.10 mg/cm ²		Conc. 0.21 mg/cm ²		
Essential	Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	%	ED_{50}
oils	No. of	Repell	No. of	Repell	No. of	Repell	(mg/c
	mosquito	ency	mosquit	ency	mosquito	ency	m ²)
	biting \pm		o biting		biting \pm		
	SD		\pm SD		SD		
Mango	3.2±0.83	36	2.0±1.22	60	1.0±1.22	72	0.064
ginger							
Cassumu	3.0±1.00	40	2.0±1.00	60	1.2±1.30	76	0.056
nar							
ginger							
English	2.4±1.14	52	1.6±1.14	68	$1.0{\pm}1.00$	80	0.050
ginger							

Table 3:Dose response study of essential oils against *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes

Antimicrobial activity

Essential oils were found to be effective against the both gram positive as well as gram negative bacteria. Cassumunar ginger had shown the best results among the three essential oils. However, mango ginger showed a narrow zone of inhibition. The results have shown much impressive antimicrobial properties. The plates containing *Escherchia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes and Staphylococcus aureus* showed 28, 27 and 40 mm of zone of inhibition for cassumunar ginger oil while the results for standard drugs (tetracycline) treated plated showed 34, 40, and 37 mm. The results for the antimicrobial activity of essential oils obtained from mango ginger, cassumunar ginger and English ginger, are shown in Table: 4-9 respectively.

T 11 4 7	C · 1 · 1 ·	C	• • • • • •
Table 4: Zone	of inhibition	of mango	ginger oil
		0	0 0

Sl. no.	Microorganism	Zone of inhibition (mm)					
	used –	Test	Standard				
		(Essential oil treated)	(antibiotics				
			treated)				
1	Escherchia coli	10	27(Tetracycline)				
2	Listeria	16	29(Tetracycline)				
	monocytogenes						
3	Staphylococcus	21	31(Tetracycline)				
	aureus						
4	Bacillus subtilis	12	27(Ciprofloxacine)				
5	Bacillus cereus	11	16(Ampicillin)				
6	Lactobacillus casei	13	22(Ofloxacine)				

Table 5: Zone of inhibition of cassumunar ginger oil

Sl. no.	Microorganism	Zone of inhibition (mm)				
	used	Test	Standard			
		(essential oil treated)	(antibiotics treated)			
1	Escherchia coli	28	34 (tetracycline)			
2	Listeria monocytogenes	27	40 (tetracycline)			
3	Staphylococcus aureus	40	37 (tetracycline)			

4	Bacillus subtilis	32	32 (ciprofloxacine)
5	Bacillus cereus	18	18 (ampicillin)
6	Lactobacillus casei	23	23 (ofloxacine)

Sl. no.	Microorganism used	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
	-	Test	Standard	
		(essential oil treated)	(antibiotics	
			treated)	
1	Escherchia coli	14	28 (tetracycline)	
2	Listeria	29	26 (tetracycline)	
	monocytogenes			
3	Staphylococcus aureus	26	32 (tetracycline)	
4	Bacillus subtilis	27	32(ciprofloxacine)	
5	Bacillus cereus	16	18 (ampicillin)	
6	Lactobacillus casei	14	12 (ofloxacine)	

Table 6: Zone of inhibition of English ginger oil

Table 7: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of mango ginger

Bacterial strains	Control	Concentration of the test oil (µl/ml)				
		3.12	6.25	12.5	25	50
Bacillus subtilis	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth
Listeria monocytogenes	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth
Escherchia coli	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth

Bacterial strains	Control	Concentration of the test oil (µl/ml)					
		3.12	6.25	12.5	25	50	
Bacillus subtilis	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth	
Listeria monocytogenes	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth	
Escherchia coli	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth	

Table 8: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Cassumunar ginger

Table 9: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of English ginger

Bacterial	Control	Concentration of the test oil (µl/ml)						
strains		3.12	6.25	12.5	25	50		
Bacillus subtilis	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth		
Listeria monocytogenes	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth	No Growth		
Escherchia coli	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	No Growth	No Growth		

Gas chromatographic-Mass spectrometric identification

From the GC-MS data, camphene, 1,8 epoxy-p-menthane, Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2one, 1,7,7-trimethyl-,1S were found as the major aromatic compounds in mango ginger oil; Citral, thymol, succinic acid, carbonic acid, D-limonene, carveol, carene, and camphene in cassumunar ginger oil, and carene, linalool, endoborneol, alpha terpineol, citral, geraniol, thymol, neocloven in english ginger oil, respectively. The compounds identified by GC-MS are given in Fig 3.

Discussion

Most ginger species grow naturally in damp, shaded parts of the low-land or on hilly slopes. They are easily identified by the characteristic aromatic leaves and fleshy rhizome when both of them are crushed and also by the elliptical to oblong leaves arranged in two ranks on the leaf-shoot. In Assam, members of Zingiberaceae family grow luxuriantly due to very conducive climate. The selected species were *Zingiber officinale, Curcuma amada and Zingiber moran* of which the former two are widely distributed across the world while *Zingiber moran* is endemic to the region (Assam). Herbs with antimicrobial, insecticidal and repellent properties have several advantages over the use of synthetic chemical agents. Natural products are easily accessible and the production cost is negligible as compared to the other synthetic ones. The development of insect resistance is very slow for plant products and they do not leave residues in environment.



Fig 3: GC-MS study of essential oils (a) mango ginger (b) cassumunar ginger (c) English ginger

Hence, EOs can be used to control mosquito population in human dwellings is an environmentally safe option as compared to synthetic larvicides and repellents. EOs from calamus, citronella, eucalyptus and thymus has been promising enough in killing mosquito larva [19]. A group of researchers found the LC₅₀ of 50.78 mg/Lfor *Zingiber officinalis* Linn. against the larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus*, the filarial vector [20]. While another study suggested the LC₅₀ values of *Zingiber officinale* as 40.5 mg/L against *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes [21]. In our study the LC₅₀ values of mango ginger, cassumunar ginger and English ginger were found to be 176.19, 104.71, and 87.09 mg/L against 3rd instar larvae of *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes, respectively. A study on repellency activity of *Zingiber officinale* against Culex reported 100% repellency up to 30 min at 2 mg/cm² concentration [20]. In our study we have three different doses applied as follows 0.02, 0.10 and 0.21 mg/cm². The ED₅₀ for mango ginger, cassumunar ginger and English ginger were found to be 0.064, 0.056 and 0.050 mg/cm². Cassumunar ginger showed best repellent activity.

Our study reveals that, the essential oils from the rhizomes of mango ginger, cassumunar ginger and English ginger provides inhibitory effect over the microbial strains of *Escherchia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus cereus,* and *Lactobacillus casei*. The gram positive bacteria should be more susceptible than gram negative bacteria since they have only one outer peptidoglycan layer which is not effective permeability barrier. It has been reported that, the lipopolysaccharides present in the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria gives the resistance towards antibacterial agents.

Conclusion

Essential oils were isolated from the field collected rhizomes of the selective species of Zingiberaceae family of upper Assam region and evaluated for mosquito larvicidal, repellent, antimicrobial activities. Identification of components of the essential oils was also carried out by GC-MS. Our study reveals that the EOs of *mango ginger, cassumunar ginger and English ginger* presented strong and broader spectrum of activity. The varying degrees of sensitivity of the bacterial strains may be due to both the intrinsic tolerance of microorganisms or the nature and combinations of different chemical components present in the EOs. As cassumunar ginger is an indigenous family found in the region, unrevealing its hidden potential would be a great achievement in new drug research. The oils showed good potential for larvicidal, repellent and antimicrobial activity. GC-MS results confirmed the presence of many important natural active components.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Defence Research Laboratory, Tezpur, Assam, India and Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh, Assam, India for giving administrative support to carry out this study.

References

- World Health Organisation, Vector borne diseases. World Health Organisation, Geneva. 2014; http:// www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs387/en/. (accessed August 31: 2018).
- Alphey L, Benedict M, Bellini R, Clark GG, Dame DA, Service MW, Dobson SL. Sterile-insect methods for control of mosquito-borne diseases: an analysis. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2010;10:95–311.
- Rodriguez SD, Drake LL, Price DP, Hammond JI, Hansen IA. The efficacy of some commercially available insect repellents for *Aedesaegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Aedesalbopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). J Insect Sci. 2015;15(1).
- 4. Chowdhury N, Laskar S, Chandra G. Mosquito larvicidal and antimicrobial activity of protein of *Solanumvillosum* leaves. BMC Compl Alternative Med. 2008;8(1),62.
- Barnard DR, Xue RD. Laboratory evaluation of mosquito repellents against Aedesalbopictus, Culexnigripalpus, and Ochierotatustriseriatus (Diptera: Culicidae). J MedEntomol. 2004;41:726–730.
- Rowland M, Downey G, Rab A,Freeman T, Mohammad N,Rehman H, Durrani N, Reyburn H, Curtis C, Lines J. DEET mosquito repellent provides personal protection against malaria: a household randomized trial in an Afghan refugee camp in Pakistan. Trop Med IntHealth. 2004;9:335– 342.
- Hill NA, Lenglet A, Arnez M, Carneiro I. Plant based insect repellent and insecticide treated bed nets to protect against malaria in areas of early evening biting vectors: double blind randomised placebo controlled clinical trial in the Bolivian Amazon. BMJ. 2007;335: 1023.
- 8. Miot HA, Batistella RF, Batista KDA, Volpato DEC, Augusto LST, Madeira NG, Miot LDB. Comparative study of the topical effectiveness of

the Andiroba oil (*Carapaguianensis*) and DEET 50% as repellent for *Aedes* sp. Rev Inst Med Trop SP. 2004;46(5):253-256.

- 9. Chandurkar PS. Training and education on IPM. IPM Mitr. 2001;11: 91-97.
- 10. Evans WC. Trease and Evans' Pharmacognosy E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences. 2009.
- 11. Davis EE, Rebert CS. Elements of olfactory receptor coding in the yellowfever mosquito. J EconEntomol. 1972;65(4):1058-1061.
- Knobloch K, Weigand H, Weis N, Schwarm HM, Vigenschow H. Action of terpenoids on energy metabolism. Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, Germany.1986. p. 429-445.
- Rahman H, Karuppaiyan R, Kishore K, Denzongpa R. Traditional practices of ginger cultivation in Northeast India.Indian J Tradit Know. 2009;8(11):23-28.
- 14. Hazarika H, Tyagi V, Krishnatreyya H, Kishor S, Karmakar S, Bhattacharyya DR, Zaman K, Chattopadhyay P. Toxicity of essential oils on *Aedes aegypti*: A vector of chikungunya and dengue fever. Int J Mosq Res. 2018;5(3):51-57
- 15. Islam J, Zaman K, Tyagi V, Duarah S, Dhiman S, Chattopadhyay P. Protection against mosquito vectors Aedes aegypti, Anopheles stephensi and Culex quinquefasciatus using a novel insect repellent, ethyl anthranilate. Acta tropica. 2017 Oct 1;174:56-63.
- Phasomkusolsil S, Soonwera M. Comparative mosquito repellency of essential oils against *Aedes aegypti* (Linn.), *Anopheles dirus* (Peyton and Harrison) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say). Asian Pac Trop Biomed. 2011;1(1):S113-S118.
- 17. Weaving AJS, Sylvester NK. Pyrethrum as an insect repellent, part II: a laboratory technique for its evaluation as a mosquito repellent, and the influence of formulation on persistence. Pyrethrum Post. 1967;9(1).
- Babu KS, Srinivas PV, Praveen B, Kishore KH, Murty US, Rao JM. Antimicrobial constituents from the rhizomes of *Rheum emodi*. Phytochem. 2003;62(2):203-207.
- Govindarajan M. Larvicidal and repellent properties of some essential oils against Culex tritaeniorhynchus Giles and Anopheles subpictus Grassi (Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2011 Feb 1;4(2):106-11.

- Pushpanathan T, Jebanesan A, Govindarajan M. The essential oil of *Zingiberofficinalis* Linn (*Zingiberaceae*) as a mosquito larvicidal and repellent agent against the filarial vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. 2008;102(6):1289-1291.
- Kalaivani K, Senthil-Nathan S, Murugesan AG. Biological activity of selected *Lamiaceae* and *Zingiberaceae* plant essential oils against the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* L.(Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. 2012;110(3):1261-1268.

How to cite this article:

Hazarika H, Boruah S, Islam J, Paul A, Zaman K. Antimicrobial, mosquito larvicidal and repellent activity of essential oils isolated from three species of Zingiberaceae family grown in Upper Assam region, India, *Curr Trends Pharm Res*, 2020, 7 (1): 126-142.